

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. Date of Submission: 2010-03-17 14:11:36

2. Agency: 024

3. Bureau: 50

4. Name of this Investment: CBP - Automated Commercial Environment / International Trade Data System (ACE / ITDS) (2011)

5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: 024-50-01-03-01-5053-00

6. What kind of investment will this be in FY 2011?: Mixed Life Cycle

- Planning
- Full Acquisition
- Operations and Maintenance
- Mixed Life Cycle
- Multi-Agency Collaboration

7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? *

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap; this description may include links to relevant information which should include relevant GAO reports, and links to relevant findings of independent audits.

ACE is a web-based system that consolidates seven different cargo processing systems into a single portal, and will provide CBP personnel even more advanced tools and better information to decide--before a shipment reaches U.S. borders--what cargo should be targeted because it poses a potential risk, and what cargo should be expedited because it complies with U.S. laws. This future consolidated investment of ACE and ITDS will provide enhanced abilities to meet: (1) DHS' strategic goals for Prevention (detects, deters and mitigates threats to our homeland), Protection (safeguard critical infrastructure, property, and the economy from all threats), Service (facilitate lawful trade, travel, and immigration), and Organizational Excellence (protect confidentiality and data integrity to ensure privacy and security); (2) CBP's mission of protecting the American public against terrorists and instruments of terror and enforcing the laws of the United States while fostering our Nation's economic security through lawful international trade and travel; and (3) the President's Management Agenda. This consolidated investment will provide enhanced benefits in greatly reducing the amount of manual/paper-based processing and reporting burdens, implementing a single window for the trade, promoting and analyzing international trade, providing more timely and accurate information to the trade community, as well as coordinating the integration of approximately 80 Participating Government Agencies (PGA) that have international trade and transportation management responsibilities.

a. Provide here the date of any approved rebaselining within the past year, the date for the most recent (or planned) alternatives analysis for this investment, and whether this investment has a risk management plan and risk register.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? *

a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? *

10. Contact information of Program/Project Manager?

- **Name:** *
- **Phone Number:** *

- Email: *

11. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per FAC-P/PM)? *

- Project manager has been validated according to FAC-PMPM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.
- Project manager qualifications according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria is under review for this investment.
- Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements according to FAC-P/OM or DAWIA criteria.
- Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
- No project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

12. If this investment is a financial management system, then please fill out the following as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory (FMSI):

Financial management system name(s)	System acronym	Unique Project Identifier (UPI) number
*	*	*

a. If this investment is a financial management system AND the investment is part of the core financial system then select the primary FFMIA compliance area that this investment addresses (choose only one): *

- computer system security requirement;
- internal control system requirement;
- core financial system requirement according to FSIO standards;
- Federal accounting standard;
- U.S. Government Standard General Ledger at the Transaction Level;
- this is a core financial system, but does not address a FFMIA compliance area;
- Not a core financial system; does not need to comply with FFMIA

Section B: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table 1: SUMMARY OF FUNDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS) (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)									
	PY1 and earlier	PY 2009	CY 2010	BY 2011	BY+1 2012	BY+2 2013	BY+3 2014	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations & Maintenance:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Disposition Costs (optional):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
SUBTOTAL:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above.									
Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL(including FTE costs)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

2. If the summary of funding has changed from the FY 2010 President's Budget request, briefly explain those changes:

*

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1.

Table 1: Contracts/Task Orders Table

Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/Task Order (In accordance with FAR Part 16)	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/Task Order	End date of Contract/Task Order	Total Value of Contract/Task Order (M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)
Tc-2001-025	IDIQ: Indefinite Delivery, Indefinite Quantity	Y	2001-04-01	2001-05-01	2011-04-30	\$25,000.0	*	*	*	*	*
HSBP-1009J26257	FPIF: Fixed Price Incentive Fee	Y	2009-05-01	2009-05-01	2011-04-30	\$10.2	*	*	*	*	*
HSBP-1007C01563	T&M: Time & Materials	Y	2007-04-01	2007-04-01	2009-09-30	\$2.5	*	*	*	*	*
HSBP-1009F25572	T&M: Time & Materials	Y	2009-03-20	2009-03-20	2010-03-19	\$12.3	*	*	*	*	*
HSBP-1009J25592	T&M: Time & Materials	Y	2009-03-16	2009-03-16	2010-03-15	\$2.0	*	*	*	*	*

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

*

3. Is there an acquisition plan which reflects the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 and has been approved in accordance with agency requirements? *

a. If "yes," what is the date? *

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2006	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of cargo risk mitigated	fy 2006: 7.8%	fy 2006: increase by .5 % to achieve a total of 8.3% of cargo risk mitigated	fy 2006: 9.5% (supports the business reference model line of business; homeland security; border and transportation security)
2006	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of cargo shipments released without intervention	fy 2006: 93.3%	fy 2006: increase by .5% to achieve a total of 93.8% of cargo shipments released without intervention	fy 2006: 94.2%
2006	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	number of security-focused intensives designated for exam	fy 2006: 2,215,088	fy 2006: increase by 11,075 to achieve a total of 2,226,163 security focused intensives designated for exam	fy 2006: 2,420,348
2006	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	total number of linked electronic sources from cbp and other government agencies for targeting information	fy 2006: 3	fy 2006: increase by 1 to achieve a total of 4 linked electronic sources from cbp and other government agencies for targeting information	fy 2006: 9 (supports the president's management agenda (pma) e-gov initiative)
2006	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of total duties and fees paid by periodic monthly statement	fy 2006: 11.0%	fy 2006: increase by 19% to achieve 30.0% of total duties and fees paid by periodic monthly statement	fy 2006: 30.0% (supports the pma financial performance initiative)
2006	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent reduction in time to process in primary (truck)	fy 2006: approx. 1.75 minutes (national average)	fy 2006: reduce cycle time by 12.0% (1.54 minutes national average)	fy 2006: 17.2% -- or 1.45 minutes (national average processing time at primary)
2007	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of cargo risk mitigated	fy 2007: 8.3%	fy 2007: increase by .5 % to achieve a total of 8.8% of cargo risk mitigated	fy 2007: 20.8%
2007	Protect our Nation from	*	*	percent of cargo shipments	fy 2007: 93.8%	fy 2007: increase by .5%	fy 2007: 94.7%

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	Dangerous Goods			released without intervention		to achieve a total of 94.3% of cargo shipments released without intervention	
2007	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	number of security-focused intensives designated for exam	fy 2007: 2,226,163	fy 2007: increase by 11,131 to achieve a total of 2,237,294 security focused intensives designated for exam	fy 2007: 2,268,219
2007	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	total number of linked electronic sources from cbp and other government agencies for targeting information	fy 2007: 9	fy 2007: increase by 7 to achieve a total of 16 linked electronic sources from cbp and other government agencies for targeting information	fy 2007: 16
2007	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of total duties and fees paid by periodic monthly statement	fy 2007: 30.0%	fy 2007: increase by 10% to achieve 40.0% of total duties paid by periodic monthly statement	fy 2007: 42.0% (supports the pma financial performance initiative)
2007	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent reduction in time to process in primary (truck)	fy 2007: approx. 1.45 minutes (national average)	fy 2007: reduce cycle time by 12.0% (1.28 minutes national average)	fy 2007: 36.0%
2007	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	number of ace trade accounts	fy 2007: 5,000	fy 2007: increase by 4,000 accounts to achieve a total of 9,000 ace trade accounts	fy 2007: 11,950
2007	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of cbp population using ace to manage trade information	fy 2007: 18.0%	fy 2007: increase by 12% to achieve a total of 30.0% of cbp population using ace to manage trade information	fy 2007: 29.6%
2008	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of system-generated high risk cargo security targets held	fy 2008: 65.7%	60 %	fy 2008: 64.1%
2008	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	total number of linked electronic sources from cbp and other government	fy 2008: 16	fy 2008: increase by 3 to achieve a total of 19 linked electronic	fy 2008: 19

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
				agencies for targeting		sources from cbp and other government agencies for targeting	
2008	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of system-generated cargo security risk mitigated	fy 2008: 55.7%	50.0%	fy 2008: 60.2%
2008	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of total duties and fees paid by periodic monthly statement	fy 2008: 40.0%	fy 2008: increase by 10% to achieve 50.0% of total duties paid by periodic monthly statement	fy 2008: 46% (supports the pma financial performance initiative)
2008	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent reduction in time to process in primary (truck)	fy 2008: approx. 1.28 minutes (national average)	fy 2008: reduce time to process in primary by 6.0% (1.21 minutes national average)	fy 2008: 34%
2008	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	number of ace trade accounts	fy 2008: 9,000	fy 2008: increase by 5,000 accounts to achieve a total of 14,000 ace trade accounts	fy 2008: 15,565
2009	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of entry summaries for which no further trade activity is required (as measured by entry summary bypass)	fy 2009: 93.0%	fy 2009: increase by 1% to achieve a total of 94.0% of entry summaries for which no further trade activity is required	tbd
2009	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of system-generated cargo security risk mitigated	fy 2009: 50.0%	fy 2009: increase by 7.2% to achieve 57.2% mitigation of system generated cargo security risk	tbd
2009	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of system-generated high risk cargo security targets	fy 2009: 60.0%	fy 2009: increase by 7.2% to achieve 67.2% of system-generated high risk cargo security targets	tbd
2009	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	total number of linked electronic sources from cbp and other government agencies for targeting information	fy 2009: 19	fy 2009: increase by 3 to achieve a total of 22 linked electronic sources from cbp and other government agencies for	tbd

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
						targeting information	
2009	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of total duties and fees paid by periodic monthly statement	fy 2009: 46%	fy2009: increase by 4% to achieve 50% of total duties paid by periodic monthly statement	tbd
2009	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of cbp population using ace to manage trade information	fy 2009: 40.0%	fy 2009: increase by 23% to achieve a total of 63.0% of cbp population using ace to manage trade information	tbd
2010	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of system-generated cargo security risk mitigated	fy 2010: 57.2%	fy 2010: increase by .5% to achieve 57.7% mitigation of system generated cargo security risk	tbd
2010	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of total duties and fees paid by periodic monthly statement	fy 2008: 46%	fy2010: increase by 6% to achieve 52% of total duties paid by periodic monthly statement	tbd
2010	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent customer satisfaction with the seamless filing of multi-modal manifest	(no current capability exists)	fy 2010: increase to 45.0% customer satisfaction with the seamless filing of multi-modal manifest	tbd
2010	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of system-generated high risk cargo security targets	fy 2010: 67.2%	fy 2010: increase by 1% to achieve 68.2% of system-generated high risk cargo security targets	tbd
2010	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	time saved resulting from single sign-on to the ace system by cbp personnel.	fy 2010: 1,547 hours	fy 2010: reduction of 247 hours to achieve 1,300 total hours using the single sign-on to the ace system by cbp personnel	tbd (supports the pma e-gov initiative)
2010	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	total number of linked electronic sources from cbp and other government agencies for	fy 2010: 22	fy 2010: increase by 3 to achieve a total of 25 linked electronic sources from	tbd

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
				targeting information		cbp and other government agencies for targeting information	
2011	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of system-generated high risk cargo security targets held	fy 2011: 67.7%	fy 2011: increase by .5% to achieve 68.2% of system generated high risk cargo security threats held	tbd
2011	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of system-generated cargo security risk mitigated	tbd	tbd	tbd
2011	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of customer satisfaction with the seamless filing of multi-modal manifest	fy 2011: 57.7%	fy 2011: increase by .5% to achieve 58.2% customer satisfaction with the seamless filing of multi-modal manifest	tbd
2011	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	number of in-bond discrepancies	tbd	tbd	tbd
2011	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	time saved resulting from single sign-on to the ace system by cbp personnel.	fy 2011: 1,547 hours	fy 2011: reduction of 247 hours to achieve 1,300 total hours using the single sign-on to the ace system by cbp personnel	tbd (supports the pma e-gov initiative)
2011	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	total number of linked electronic sources from cbp and other government agencies for targeting information	fy 2011: 25	fy 2011: increase by 3 to achieve a total of 28 linked electronic sources from cbp and other government agencies for targeting information	tbd
2011	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of system-generated cargo security risk mitigated	fy 2011: 58.2%	fy 2011: increase by .5% to achieve 58.7% of system generated cargo security risk mitigated	tbd
2012	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent customer satisfaction with the seamless	tbd	tbd	tbd

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
				filing of multi-modal manifest			
2012	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	number of in-bond discrepancies	tbd	tbd	tbd
2012	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of system-generated high risk cargo security targets	fy 2012: 68.2%	fy 2012: increase by .5% to achieve 68.7% of system-generated high risk cargo security threats	tbd
2012	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	total number of linked electronic sources from cbp and other government agencies for targeting information	fy 2012: 28	fy 2012: increase by 3 to achieve a total of 31 linked electronic sources from cbp or other government agencies for targeting information	tbd
2012	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of system-generated cargo security risk mitigated	fy 2012: 58.7%	fy 2012: increase by .5% to achieve 59.2% mitigation of system-generated cargo security risk	tbd
2013	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent customer satisfaction with the seamless filing of multi-modal manifest	tbd	tbd	tbd
2013	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	number of in-bond discrepancies	tbd	tbd	tbd
2013	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	total number of linked electronic sources from cbp and other government agencies for targeting information	fy 2013: 31	fy 2013: increase by 3 to achieve a total of 34 linked electronic sources from cbp and other government agencies for targeting information	tbd
2014	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent customer satisfaction with the seamless filing of multi-modal manifest	tbd	tbd	tbd

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2014	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	number of in-bond discrepancies	tbd	tbd	tbd
2014	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	total number of linked electronic sources from cbp and other government agencies for targeting information	fy 2014: 34	fy 2014: increase by 1 to achieve a total of 35 linked electronic sources from cbp and other government agencies for targeting information	tbd
2014	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of system-generated cargo security risk mitigated	fy 2014: 59.2%	fy 2014: increase by .5% to achieve 59.7% mitigation of system generated cargo security risk	tbd
2015	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent customer satisfaction with the seamless filing of multi-modal manifest	tbd	tbd	tbd
2015	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	number of in-bond discrepancies	tbd	tbd	tbd
2015	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	total number of linked electronic sources from cbp and other government agencies for targeting information	fy 2015: 37	fy 2015: increase by 1 to achieve a total of 38 linked electronic sources from cbp and other government agencies for targeting information	tbd
2015	Protect our Nation from Dangerous Goods	*	*	percent of system-generated cargo security risk mitigated	fy 2015: 59.7	fy 2015: increase by .5% to achieve 60.2% mitigation of system generated cargo security risk	tbd

Part II: Planning, Acquisition And Performance Information

Section A: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline

Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
CSPO Program Management Capability-Includes Acquisition Planning, Schedule Management, EVM, and Integrated Baseline Reviews.	\$347.7	\$270.9	2001-08-29	2001-08-29	2010-09-30		95.00%	95.00%
International Trade Data System-ITDS supports PGAs contributing to the development of ACE. The ITDS program assists these agencies in identifying, documenting, and executing their plan to leverage ACE to improve business operations.	\$123.4	\$84.8	2001-08-29	2001-08-29	2011-01-31		95.00%	95.00%
ACE Support Team Program Management Capability-Perform comprehensive and consistent program and project management for the entire CBP Modernization effort.	\$212.3	\$212.3	2001-08-29	2001-08-29	2007-10-31	2007-10-31	100.00%	100.00%
ACE Support Team Facilities and Infrastructure-Define requirements, plan, acquire, communicate, and manage all ACE Support Team	\$52.9	\$45.1	2001-08-29	2001-08-29	2007-10-31	2007-10-31	100.00%	100.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
operating facilities and infrastructure required to support and execute all work tasked under the								
ACE Support Team EA-Serve the strategic planning, EA, and engineering needs across new development efforts of the Modernization Program and the transition of legacy CBP systems into the CBP EA.	\$149.4	\$143.9	2001-08-29	2001-08-29	2007-10-31	2007-10-31	100.00%	100.00%
OIT Support of ACE	\$140.2	\$140.2	2001-08-29	2001-08-29	2010-09-30		95.00%	95.00%
Workforce Transformation-Develop communications and training activities that will prepare and equip the user for the new working environment as well as assess who will be affected and coordinate deployment of ACE releases to the field and trade.	\$76.6	\$65.3	2001-08-29	2001-08-29	2007-10-31	2007-10-31	100.00%	100.00%
Commercial off-the-shelf Procurement-All hardware and software purchased by CBP to assist in the design and development of ACE.	\$151.5	\$129.1	2001-08-29	2001-08-29	2007-10-31	2007-10-31	100.00%	100.00%
Releases 1 and 2-Release 1	\$40.6	\$116.8	2002-02-18	2002-02-18	2003-10-17	2003-10-17	100.00%	100.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
provided the first infrastructure investment for the deployment platform for ACE and established security measures that are consistent across ACE. Release 2 laid the initial foundation for an account management structure.								
Releases 3 and 4-Release 3 provided a new CBP revenue capability allowing the importers and their designated brokers to obtain monthly statements and make periodic monthly payments of duties and fees. Release 4 provided an electronic truck manifest.	\$126.4	\$210.8	2002-06-15	2002-06-15	2005-02-23	2005-04-14	100.00%	100.00%
Technology Prototypes-Evaluate new technologies or new techniques for supporting ACE Screening & Targeting (S&T) functionality. After the prototype has been evaluated, it will be assessed for inclusion into one of the S&T releases.	\$32.8	\$27.3	2003-06-02	2003-06-02	2004-10-30	2004-10-30	100.00%	100.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Deployment-Assure the CBP sites and users are ready for deployment and deploy ACE functionality nationwide.	\$5.0	\$5.0	2003-10-01	2005-02-26	2007-10-31	2007-10-31	100.00%	100.00%
O&M FY 2004-The scope of this task is to provide O&M services for existing ACE Development, Test, and Production/Production Support environments.	\$123.0	\$47.7	2003-08-01	2003-08-01	2004-09-30	2004-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
O&M FY 2005-The scope of this task is to provide O&M services for existing ACE Development, Test, and Production/Production Support environments.	\$48.5	\$48.5	2004-10-01	2004-10-01	2005-09-30	2005-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
O&M FY 2006-The scope of this task is to provide O&M services for existing ACE Development, Test, and Production/Production Support environments.	\$75.2	\$75.2	2005-10-01	2005-10-01	2006-09-30	2006-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
O&M FY 2007-The scope of this task is to provide O&M services for existing ACE Development, Test, and Production/Production Support environments.	\$56.1	\$84.5	2006-10-01	2006-10-01	2007-09-30	2007-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
O&M FY 2008-The scope of this	\$67.9	\$81.4	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
task is to provide O&M services for existing ACE								
O&M FY 2009-The scope of this task is to provide O&M services for existing ACE Development, Test, and Production/Production Support environments.	\$75.0	\$73.3	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
O&M FY 2010-The scope of this task is to provide O&M services for existing ACE Development, Test, and Production/Production Support environments.	\$115.4	\$85.0	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-09-30		70.00%	70.00%
O&M FY 2011-The scope of this task is to provide O&M services for existing ACE Development, Test, and Production/Production Support environments.	*	*	2010-10-01		2011-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
O&M FY 2012-The scope of this task is to provide O&M services for existing ACE Development, Test, and Production/Production Support environments.	*	*	2011-10-01		2012-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
O&M FY 2013-The scope of this task is to provide O&M services for	*	*	2012-10-01		2013-09-30		0.00%	0.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
existing ACE								
O&M FY 2014-The scope of this task is to provide O&M services for existing ACE Development, Test, and Production/Production Support environments.	*	*	2013-10-01		2014-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
Selectivity Release 1-Establishes long-term foundation for modernizing S&T.	\$43.1	\$53.8	2003-10-01	2003-10-13	2005-11-10	2006-04-07	100.00%	100.00%
Selectivity Release 2-Establishes the BTA portal and extends the screening functionality on entry summary.	\$32.1	\$31.1	2004-03-01	2004-03-17	2006-07-20	2006-10-26	100.00%	100.00%
Selectivity Release 3-Supports completion of the risk management circle. It provides the capability for initial risk identification, modeling, profiling, and mitigation design functionality.	\$34.4	\$34.4	2004-10-01	2004-03-17	2007-02-28	2007-09-20	100.00%	100.00%
ATS Maintenance	\$101.3	\$96.8	2007-03-01	2007-03-01	2010-09-30		80.00%	80.00%
ACE Financial Functionality	\$29.5	\$29.5	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-07-15	2009-07-15	100.00%	100.00%
M1 - e-Manifest Rail and Sea	\$163.6	\$138.6	2005-07-21	2005-07-21	2011-04-30		97.00%	97.00%
M2.1 - e-Manifest: Air and Conveyance Management Systems - Inception to	\$33.1	\$33.1	2006-10-05	2006-10-05	2009-05-29	2009-05-29	100.00%	100.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
CDR								
M2.1 - e-Manifest: Air and Conveyance Management Systems - CDR to ORR	*	*	2015-08-01		2017-01-01		0.00%	0.00%
M2.2 - Full Multi-Modal Manifest - Inception to PDR	\$22.1	\$22.1	2006-10-05	2005-10-05	2008-02-28	2008-02-28	100.00%	100.00%
M2.3 - Cargo Release	*	*	2010-08-01		2015-07-31		0.00%	0.00%
A1 - ESAR: Master Data and Enhanced Accounts	\$120.6	\$120.6	2004-11-03	2004-11-03	2007-09-09	2007-09-09	100.00%	100.00%
A2.1 - ESAR: M1 Reference Data	\$15.8	\$15.8	2004-10-28	2004-10-28	2009-06-25	2009-06-25	100.00%	100.00%
A2.2 - ESAR: Initial Entry Summary Types	\$71.2	\$71.2	2004-10-28	2004-10-28	2009-06-18	2009-06-18	100.00%	100.00%
A2.3 - ESAR: Remaining Entry Summary Types	\$102.0	\$68.3	2004-10-28	2004-10-28	2010-10-01		60.00%	60.00%
O&M FY 2015 - The scope of this task is to provide O&M services for existing ACE Development, Test, and Production/Production Support environments	*	*	2014-10-01		2015-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
O&M FY 2016 - The scope of this task is to provide O&M services for existing ACE Development, Test, and Production/production Support environments.	*	*	2015-10-01		2016-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
O&M FY 2017-FY 2025 to completion - The scope of this task is to provide O&M services for	*	*	2016-10-01		2025-09-30		0.00%	0.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
existing ACE								
M2.2 - Full Multi-Modal Manifest - PDR - ORR	*	*	2017-01-02		2020-07-01		0.00%	0.00%

* - Indicates data is redacted.